

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virignia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/990,074	0/990,074 11/21/20		Gernot M. Hirse	22750/503	1487
26646	7590	11/15/2004		EXAMINER	
KENYON & KENYON ONE BROADWAY				COLE, LAURA C	
NEW YOR	K, NY 10	0004		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
				1744	
				DATE MAILED: 11/15/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

• 1			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	MCZ
Advisory Action	09/990,074	HIRSE, GERNOT M.	
, tarreery reason	Examiner	Art Unit	<u> </u>
	Laura C Cole	1744	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 01 November 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica	ation. A proper reply	y to a
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire! ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the control of th	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFF of extension and the corresponding amount in the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the most replace.	g date of the final rejection F FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appro	on. See MPEP  opriate extension opriate extension
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of	riod set forth in the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furthe	er consideration and/or search (s	ee NOTE below):	
<ul><li>(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be</li></ul>	elow);		
(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	better form for appeal by mater	ially reducing or sim	plifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fir	ally rejected claims	_
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		• •	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	pe allowable if submitted in a sep	parate, timely filed a	mendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for r application in condition for allowance because:	econsideration has been consid	ered but does NOT	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered beca raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	use it is not directed SOLELY to	issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s explanation of how the new or amended claims would be appeared by the company of the proposed amendment (see the propo	s) a) will not be entered or b) uld be rejected is provided below	will be entered an	d an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		• •	
Claim(s) allowed: 8.			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2 and 5-7</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appro	ved or b) disapproved by the	Examiner	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	(s)( PTO-1449) Paner No(s)		
40 T ou		<del></del> •	

10. Other: \_\_\_\_

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The language of Claim 1 that recites "...grooves which run at an angle to a perpendicular of the hinge edge..." It is unclear to the Examiner as to what "a perpendicular" of the hinge edge would be. Furthermore, the "angle to a perpendicular" would require further consideration and searching, since drains running at an angle to a perpendicular of a hinge edge may be running in a

Robert J. Warden, SR.
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700